1

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANSAR EL MUHAMMAD, also known as CHEVAL WRIGHT,

Petitioner,

vs.

JEANNE WOODWARD, et al.,

Respondents.

No. 2:04-CV-1856-RRB-JFM P

ORDER1

Petitioner Ansar El Muhammad, also known as Cheval Wright ("Petitioner") is a state prisoner proceeding through counsel with an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On February 27, 2006, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and

Respondents' request to substitute S. Ornanski, the new Warden of San Quentin State Prison, for Joseph McGrath as a Respondent in this matter is unopposed by Petitioner, and good cause appearing, is **GRANTED**.

26 ORDER DENYING RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS - 2 2:04-CV-1856-RRB-JFM P

which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Respondents Jeanne Woodward, et al. ("Respondents") have filed objections to the findings and recommendations and Petitioner has filed a reply to Respondents' objections.

Inasmuch as the Court concludes the issues before it are based in law, i.e., legal disputes, and in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, the court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of the relevant pleadings filed in this matter. Having carefully and thoroughly reviewed the same, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Findings and Recommendations (Docket No. 19) filed February 27, 2006, are adopted in full.
- 2. Respondents' March 30, 2005 Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 9) is denied.
- 3. Respondents are directed to file an answer to the first amended petition within thirty days from the date of this order. See Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Respondents shall include with the answer any and all transcripts or other documents relevant to the determination of the issues presented in the first amended petition. Rule 5, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

Case 2:04-cv-01856-JAM-JFM Document 22 Filed 03/23/06 Page 3 of 3

4. Petitioner's traverse, if any, is due on or before thirty days from the date respondents' answer is filed. ENTERED this 22nd day of March, 2006. //s// RALPH R. BEISTLINE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER DENYING RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS - 3 2:04-CV-1856-RRB-JFM P